9-109.

- (a) (1) The recommendation of the Transportation Selection Board for the procurement and award of each contract for architectural and engineering services  $-\frac{1}{2}$ -costing over \$50,000 \$100,000- $\frac{1}{2}$ -shall:
  - (i) Be made on a competitive basis; and
- (ii) Include an evaluation of technical [and price proposals]  $\underline{PROPOSALS\ AND}$  QUALIFICATIONS from two or more persons.
- [(2) Neither the technical proposal nor the price proposal may be the sole criterion in making a recommendation on a competitive basis.]
- (2) (I) THE-TRANSPORTATION-SELECTION-BOARD-SHALL-MAKE ITS-RECOMMENDATION-FOR-THE-AWARD-OF-ALL-CONTRACTS-ON-THE-BASIS-OF NEGOTIATION-AND-IN-ACCORDANCE-WITH-RULES-AND-RECULATIONS-ADOPTED BY-THE-TRANSPORTATION-SELECTION-BOARD:
- 1. CONSIDER THE SCOPE AND COMPLEXITY OF THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES REQUIRED; AND
- 2. CONDUCT A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE COST OF THE SERVICES.
- (###) (II) IF THE TRANSPORTATION SELECTION BOARD AGENCY IS UNABLE TO NEGOTIATE A SATISFACTORY CONTRACT WITH THE FIRM CONSIDERED TO BE THE MOST QUALIFIED AT A PRICE THE TRANSPORTATION SELECTION-BOARD AGENCY DETERMINES TO BE FAIR, COMPETITIVE, AND REASONABLE, THE BOARD AGENCY SHALL TERMINATE ANY NEGOTIATION WITH THAT FIRM. THE TRANSPORTATION SELECTION-BOARD AGENCY THEN SHALL NEGOTIATE WITH THE SECOND MOST QUALIFIED FIRM IN THE SAME MANNER. IF AGREEMENT CANNOT BE REACHED WITH THE SECOND MOST QUALIFIED FIRM, THE TRANSPORTATION SELECTION-BOARD AGENCY SHALL NEGOTIATE WITH THE THIRD MOST QUALIFIED FIRM.
- HEARD AGENCY IS UNABLE TO NEGOTIATE A SATISFACTORY CONTRACT WITH ANY OF THE SELECTED FIRMS, THE TRANSPORTATION SELECTION-BOARD AGENCY SHALL:
- 1. SELECT ADDITIONAL FIRMS IN ORDER OF THEIR COMPETENCE AND QUALIFICATION; AND
- 2. CONTINUE NEGOTIATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SECTION UNTIL AN AGREEMENT IS REACHED.